# Chunk Stylebook

## Steven Abney

## 1996

## 1 Introduction

Roughly speaking, a *chunk* is the non-recursive core of an intra-clausal constituent, extending from the beginning of the constituent to its head, but not including post-head dependents. A sample text is provided in the last section of this paper to give an intuitive idea of what we have in mind.

In marking chunks, we are interested only in their category and start and end points. Evaluation consists in marking concordance lines of corpus positions i with the category and end-point of the chunk (if any) that begins at i. For example:

41/1087:	with "significant" business
19/530:	The
25/701:	arch and development , Mr. Lane
47/1233:	Co. , a maker of biotechnology
63/1746:	disturb or challenge a listener
21/583:	, that the proposed changes "
22/605:	" What the investors who
36/966:	Both
62/1697:	nd movement from Saint-Saens 's
22/611:	the proposed changes object to
17/453:	The changes were proposed in

stemming ]vgx from we SEC will probably vot said ]vx. // The rul instrumentation and e . // And so it went t would substantially i oppose ]vx the propos funds are expected to Sonata ]nx for Clarin most is the effect th an effort ]nx to stre

#### Chunk categories are:

NX	noun chunk
VX	verb chunk
INF	infinitive chunk
VGX	present participle or gerund chunk
VNX	past participle chunk
AX	adjective chunk
RX	adverb chunk

#### 1.1 Maximal Chunks

A maximal chunk is a chunk that is contained in no other chunk. For example, in [NX] the [AX] new] building], the NX is maximal, and the AX is not. We mark only maximal chunks—only the NX, in this case. "Maximal chunk" should usually be understood when we write "chunk".

It should be noted that (maximal) chunks do not necessarily partition the sentence—there may be material that does not belong to any chunk. For example, prepositions, coordinators, subordinators, adverbs, and punctuation often are not part of any chunk.

## 1.2 Chunks Syntactically Defined

Chunks are defined strictly syntactically, not semantically, functionally, lexically, etc. There is a relation between chunks and "tightly bonding" phrases, where "tightly bonding" includes such notions as prosodic phrasing, distributional co-occurence (e.g., mutual information), lexical selection, lexical unpredictability, collocation, semantic constituency, semantic unpredictability, etc. However "tightly bonding" does not define chunks. Here are some examples of tightly bonding phrases that are not chunks:

```
in [NX \text{ spite}] of [NX \text{ his objections}] [VGX \text{ according}] to [NX \text{ our information}] [NX \text{ she}] [VX \text{ looked}] up [NX \text{ the answer}] apart from [NX \text{ my good friend}] and [NX \text{ colleague}] [NX \text{ it}] [VX \text{ took}] [NX \text{ place}]
```

These examples will be discussed below.

## 2 Chunk Definitions by Category

We begin with a thumbnail sketch of English syntax. The kernel of a sentence is the tensed verb group, containing a main verb and its auxiliaries. Noun phrases and prepositional phrases are the commonest verb arguments; noun phrases can also appear adverbially (last week) or as (part of) measure phrases (two weeks later). Noun phrases constitute chunks, but prepositional phrases are considered to belong to a level above the chunk level and are not marked as chunks. Measure phrases are considered chunks, but they are usually part of a larger chunk, hence not marked, since only maximal chunks are marked.

Adjectives have four main functions: prenominal modifiers (a big dog), predicate adjectives (the dog is big), postnominal modifiers (a dog as big as a house) and secondary predicates (as big as it is, you'd think that dog couldn't run so fast). Only postnominal adjectives and secondary predicates are marked AX—prenominal modifiers and predicate adjectives are included in the associated NX/VX, hence not maximal.

Participles and gerunds are verb forms that function like adjectives or nouns, respectively. Participles have either the suffix -ing or -(e)d/(e)n; gerunds always end in -ing. Regardless of their function, -ing forms are marked VGX when maximal, and -(e)d/(e)n forms are marked VNX.

"Adverb" is a catch-all class. To avoid error-prone but rather useless decisions about idiosyncratic adverbs, only multi-work adverbial phrases are marked as chunks.

Coordination is a special process that introduces special difficulties. In general, coordinators separate chunks unless they are "trapped" inside a left branch of a chunk. For example:

```
[_{NX} we] [_{VX} lack] [_{NX} the ways] and [_{NX} means] [_{INF} to . . .] [_{NX} the Ways and Means Committee]
```

Coordination is discussed in more detail in section 3.4.

## 2.1 NX

An NX extends from the beginning of the noun phrase to the head noun.

- An NX begins after any possessor phrases. E.g.:  $[NX \ John]$  's  $[NX \ hat]$ . These pieces are combined at a level above the chunk level.
- Possessive pronouns are not considered to be possessor phrases: [NX] his hat.
- Temporal noun phrases and, in general, noun phrases functioning adverbially, are NX. E.g.: /<sub>NX</sub> he/ /<sub>VX</sub> left/ /<sub>NX</sub> last Monday/.
- NX includes pronouns and proper names.
- The same rules apply to names with complex internal syntactic structure as to common noun phrases.

- Abbreviatory signs like '\$', '%', 'mph' are treated as nouns:  $[NX \ almost \ \$ \ 4,000], [VX \ rose] [NX \ 30 \ \%]$  to  $[NX \ 93 \ ppm]$ .
- Quantifiers functioning as verb arguments are treated as NX's:  $[NX \ all]$   $[VX \ is \ not \ lost]$ ,  $[NX \ some]$   $[VX \ would \ say]$ ,  $[NX \ he]$   $[VX \ did \ n't \ say]$   $[NX \ much]$ .

- Quantifiers, comparatives, and superlatives appearing with determiners or PP complements are treated as NX's, even when they function adverbially: [NX most] of [NX the time], [VX like] [NX it] [NX the most], [VX like] [NX it] most, [VX like] [NX it] [NX a lot], [VX don't like] [NX it] much, [VX like] [NX it] [NX best] of [NX all], [VX like] [NX it] best.
- Predeterminers are included in NX only if they would otherwise constitute NX's of their own, e.g. /<sub>NX</sub> all the men /<sub>VX</sub> left, /<sub>NX</sub> all /<sub>VX</sub> left.
  - Examples of predeterminers are: [NX all the men], [NX both the men], [NX such a fuss], [NX half a mind], [NX many a day], [NX almost all the children].
  - Such is something of a grey case, since ?such was made is not very good, but [NX such] as [VX were left] [VX were stale] is fine, so we'll include it generally as a predeterminer.
  - Adverbs premodifying noun phrases are not treated as predeterminers. For example: quite  $[NX \ a \ fuss]$ , rather  $[NX \ a \ mess]$ , not  $[NX \ a \ peep]$ , only  $[NX \ the \ good]$ , even  $[NX \ the \ best]$ , just  $[NX \ the \ thing]$ . None of these appear as noun phrases on their own.
  - We also distinguish predeterminers from precoordinators:

```
[_{NX} both the men] [_{VX} left]
both [_{NX} the men] and [_{NX} the women] left
```

Both the men and the women is actually ambiguous—one must decide from context whether there are exactly two men and an indefinite number of women, or simply two groups, one of men and one of women.

• Partial noun chunks, e.g., coordinands with ellipses, are marked NX: both  $|_{NX}$  the old and  $|_{NX}$  the new styles.

#### 2.2 VX

VX includes all modals, auxiliary verbs, and medial adverbs, but ends at the head verb or predicate adjective. E.g.:  $[NX] John] [VX] certainly screwed] up <math>[NX] that \ time]$ .

- Only do, have, and be are auxiliary verbs: [VX help] [VX pack], [VX begin] [VGX packing].
- Verb particles are not included in VX. Of course it is important to recognize verb-particle constructions, but that job is not part of recognizing chunks.
- Light nouns and pieces of V-N idioms are not included in VX:  $[VX \ took]$   $[VX \ place]$ ,  $[VX \ take]$   $[VX \ advantage]$  of  $[VX \ take]$ .

• Prepositional phrases are not included in VX unless they are trapped between other pieces:

- Predicate adjectives (but not predicate nominals) are included in VX:  $/_{VX}$  is fun $/_{VX}$  is interesting $/_{VX}$  is still difficult $/_{VX}$
- Copulas are treated as main verbs if they take something other than a participle or predicate adjective:

```
 \begin{array}{ll} [_{\rm NX}\ {\rm John}]\ [_{\rm VX}\ {\rm is}]\ [_{\rm NX}\ {\rm my\ brother}] \\ [_{\rm NX}\ {\rm John}]\ [_{\rm VX}\ {\rm is}]\ {\rm about}\ [_{\rm INF}\ {\rm to\ find}]\ {\rm out} \\ [_{\rm NX}\ {\rm my\ hope}]\ [_{\rm VX}\ {\rm is}]\ {\rm that}\ [_{\rm NX}\ {\rm it}]\ [_{\rm VX}\ {\rm will\ snow}] \end{array}
```

• Fronted auxiliaries constitute separate VX's:

```
[NX \text{ who}] [VX \text{ did}] [NX \text{ you}] [VX \text{ see}]?
```

#### 2.3 INF

INF phrases are infinitive chunks starting with to. Bare infinitives (without to) are VX, not INF. As with verb chunks, medial adverbs immediately preceding to are included in INF.

#### 2.4 VGX

Present participle/gerund chunk, head verb ending in -ing: [NX the man] [VGX washing] [NX the car] [VX is] [NX John], [VGX washing] [NX cars] [VX is fun], [VX couldn't stop] [VGX drinking].

- When part of a tensed verb group, VGX is not maximal, so not marked:  $|_{NX} John| /_{VX} is washing| /_{NX} the car|$ .
- VGX includes gerunds functioning as noun phrases.

(Note that the prenominal participle *flying* is not a maximal chunk.)

• Adjectives in -ing are not participles: [AX very interesting] vs. [VGX interesting] [NX them] [VX is difficult].

#### 2.5 VNX

Past participle chunk, head verb ending in -(e)n/(e)d.

• Unlike with VGX, it is too difficult to make an adjective vs. participle distinction where past participles are concerned, so all (postnominal and secondary-predicate) adjectives that are morphologically past participles are marked VNX: [VNX very tired], [VNX closed] for [NX the season], [VNX not interested], [VNX already so stacked] against [NX the little guy].

#### 2.6 AX

AX are adjective chunks, beginning with any premodifying adverbs and intensifiers and ending at the head adjective:  $[AX \ completely \ silent]$ ,  $[AX \ as \ quiet]$  as  $[AX \ amouse]$ .

- Prenominal adjectives and predicate adjectives do not constitute maximal chunks, so they are not marked. AX includes postnominal adjective phrases and secondary predicates that modify a noun they are not adjacent to.
- There are some quantificational and temporal adverbs like only, even, not, just, already that are not obviously inside the adjective phrase, since they behave in many ways like sentential adverbs. However, we will consider them to belong to the adjective phrase: [AX already so sad], [AX not even as difficult] as . . . . This also applies to VGX and VNX: [VNX already so stacked].
- However, coordinators and precoordinators are not included in AX: [AX] not difficult, both [AX] difficult and [AX] dangerous, not [AX] so much [AX] difficult as [AX] tedious. This also applies to VGX and VNX.

#### 2.7 RX

Multi-word adverb phrases.

- RX includes only *multi-word* adverb phrases. Particularly, it does include adverbs modified by other adverbs or intensifiers, and it does include adverbs modified by measure phrases:  $[v_X ran][r_{RX} very quickly], [r_{VX} ran] quickly, [r_{RX} three weeks later], [r_{RX} hardly even] [r_{NX} his mother].$
- Nouns used adverbially are considered NX, not RX. E.g.:  $[NX \ yesterday]$ ,  $[NX \ last \ week]$ .
- Existential there, as in there was a unicorn in the garden, is considered a pronoun, hence NX, not RX.

- RX does not include particles, connectives, interjections, subordinators, or anything else in the general class of sentential "grit".
- Verb particles and stranded prepositions are not RX.
- Prepositions used adverbially, without complements, are considered to be adverbs, and are RX if modified, e.g. [RX] three miles across. But: [RX] three miles down [RX] the road.
- The word "ago" is considered an adverb, and since it is always (to my knowledge) modified by a measure phrase, it is always RX:  $[_{RX} two \ years \ ago]$ .
- Sentence connectives and coordinators, even if multi-word like then again, even though, but still, but also, and also, etc., are not RX.
- Interjections, e.g. yes, no, maybe, huh, uh, whoa, gee, golly, etc., are not RX.

## 3 Special Issues

#### 3.1 Wh-Phrases

Wh-phrases are not specially marked. It is of course important to distinguish wh-phrases from non-wh-phrases, but here the distinction is considered featural rather than categorial, hence outside the scope of the present evaluation.

```
 \begin{array}{l} [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm who}] \\ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm what}] \\ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm the \ man}] \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm that}] \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm you}] \ [_{\rm VX} \ {\rm saw}] \\ but: \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm I}] \ [_{\rm VX} \ {\rm think}] \ {\rm that} \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm you}] \ [_{\rm VX} \ {\rm saw}] \\ in \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm whose \ house}] \\ [_{\rm AX} \ {\rm how \ big}] \\ [_{\rm RX} \ {\rm how \ quickly}] \\ [_{\rm VX} \ {\rm know}] \ {\rm when} \ [_{\rm INF} \ {\rm to \ quit}] \qquad ({\rm when} \ is \ not \ multi-word) \\ \end{array}
```

## 3.2 Complementizers

Complementizers are not marked at all. Participles that seem lexically part of a complex complementizer are nonetheless treated as participles:

```
[_{VGX} considering] that [_{VNX} provided] that
```

#### 3.3 Punctuation

Punctuation is only included in chunks when it is "trapped" between other material:

```
[NX \text{ the "New Deal}] "[NX \text{ that}] [NX \text{ Roosevelt}]
```

#### 3.4 Coordination

Chunks do not contain coordinators unless they are "trapped" between other pieces or embedded in a premodifier. For example:

```
[_{NX} we] [_{VX} lack] [_{NX} the ways] and [_{NX} means] [_{INF} to do] [_{NX} that] [_{NX} the Ways and Means Committee] [_{NX} many] of [_{NX} Georgia] 's [_{NX} registration and election laws]
```

To amplify, we assume coordination to be a very different process from normal constituency. In particular, WE DO NOT TAKE SEMANTICS AS A GUIDE TO SYNTACTIC STRUCTURE WHERE COORDINATION IS INVOLVED. This assumption is admittedly nonstandard, but permits us to avoid difficult semantic judgments. For example, though *women* is semantically coordinated with *men* in (one reading of) the old men and women, we take the syntactic structure to be

```
[NX] the old men and [NX] women
```

When interpreting such a structure, we must recognize that *women* is in the scope of both *the* and *old*, but we take that to be semantic and not syntactic scope, or at least not syntactic scope at the chunk level.

• In clear cases of non-constituent coordination (e.g., right-node raising) the first piece is treated as involving an ellipsis:

```
[NX \text{ the old}] but not [NX \text{ the new styles}] [NX \text{ the old but not new styles}] [VX \text{ might have}] and [VX \text{ certainly ought}] [NF \text{ to have won}]
```

• Precoordinators (e.g., both in both...and) are treated as coordinators. They are included in chunks only when trapped:

```
both [_{\rm NX} John] and [_{\rm NX} Mary] [_{\rm VX} left] [_{\rm VX} will both try] and [_{\rm VX} succeed] in both [_{\rm NX} John] 's and [_{\rm NX} Mary] 's [_{\rm NX} opinion] not [_{\rm RX} so much] [_{\rm AX} difficult] as [_{\rm AX} tedious] [_{\rm NX} it] [_{\rm VX} was not so much difficult] as [_{\rm AX} tedious]
```

But be careful to distinguish precoordinators from predeterminers: [NX] both (the) men | [NX] left | [NX].

• List commas are treated as coordinators.

```
[NX \text{ a man}], [NX \text{ woman}], and [NX \text{ child}]
```

• But: written-out numbers are not considered to involve coordination:

```
[NX six million, four thousand and twenty-three (men)]
```

• Words connected with hyphens or slashes are considered to be compound words, not coordinated: [NX singer/song-writer] [NX Billy Joel].

#### 3.5 Parentheticals

Full parentheticals, set off by dashes or parentheses, break up chunks:

```
of [NX local] – and I'm sure he realizes this – [NX governments]
```

#### 3.6 Prepositions

Prepositional phrases are not marked. Prepositions generally stand alone, unless they are "trapped" in a larger chunk.

• Participles, nouns, etc., that appear to function as prepositions are nonetheless treated as participles/nouns/....

```
in [NX \text{ spite}] of [NX \text{ it}] [VGX \text{ according}] to [NX \text{ the latest figures}] [VGX \text{ considering}] the [NX \text{ trouble}] [NX \text{ we}] [VX \text{ 've been}] to
```

- The same goes for worth and missing: [AX worth] [NX the effort], [VGX missing] [NX a tooth].
- As is ambiguous between a degree word and a preposition. The degree word is included in AX/VGX/VNX, but the preposition is not—unless it is trapped (cf. Measure Phrases, below).

```
[_{
m AX} as big] as [_{
m NX} a house] [_{
m NX} the values] as [_{
m VNX} determined] by [_{
m NX} Wiener] 's [_{
m NX} method] [_{
m AX} as much as a meter longer]
```

#### 3.7 Partitives and Measure Phrases

Measure phrases are considered to belong to a level below the chunk level. Hence they do not necessarily constitute separate NX's. Note that they can modify nouns, adjectives, adverbs, and prepositions:

• As, than, and coordinators can be embedded in these constructions:

```
[_{\rm AX}\,{\rm six}\,{\rm and}\,{\rm a}\,{\rm half}\,{\rm times}\,{\rm as}\,{\rm expensive}]\\ [_{\rm IX}\,{\rm two}\,{\rm times}\,{\rm as}\,{\rm much}\,{\rm effort}]\\ [_{\rm IX}\,{\rm half}\,{\rm again}\,{\rm as}\,{\rm many}\,{\rm men}]\\ [_{\rm IX}\,{\rm six}\,{\rm and}\,{\rm a}\,{\rm half}\,{\rm times}\,{\rm as}\,{\rm expensive}\,{\rm a}\,{\rm project}]\\ [_{\rm IX}\,{\rm more}\,{\rm than}\,{\rm two}\,{\rm crows}]\\ [_{\rm VX}\,{\rm increased}]\,{\rm by}\,{\rm [}_{\rm IX}\,{\rm more}\,{\rm than}\,{\rm a}\,{\rm third}]\\ [_{\rm IX}\,{\rm it}]\,{\rm [}_{\rm VX}\,{\rm was}\,{\rm at}\,{\rm least}\,{\rm as}\,{\rm effective}]
```

• Though the meaning is different, we treat the per/a construction analogously:

```
[_{NX} 60 \text{ miles a second}]
[_{NX} 60 \text{ miles per second}]
```

• Measure phrases are taken to include adjectival and "bare" partitives:

```
[NX] how big a house [NX] what gauge wire [NX] how big a diameter circle
```

 $\bullet$  An  $\mathit{of}\text{-PP}$  is embedded only if it is trapped:

#### 3.8 Where NX Ends

Compound nouns constitute a single NX, but appositives constitute multiple NX's.

• Items with numbers or other designators are considered compounds:

```
[_{
m NX} Room 23B]

[_{
m NX} paragraph 16]

[_{
m NX} Ford Model T]

[_{
m NX} uranium 235]
```

• Both restrictive and non-restrictive appositives constitute multiple NX's:

```
 \begin{array}{l} [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm former} \ {\rm fire} \ {\rm chief}] \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm Marvin} \ {\rm Dirtwater}] \\ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm Marvin} \ {\rm Dirtwater}] \ , \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm the} \ {\rm fire} \ {\rm chief}] \ , \\ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm the} \ " \ {\rm abortion} \ {\rm pill}] \ " \ [_{\rm NX} \ {\rm RU-486}] \end{array}
```

Dates, addresses, titles, citations, mathematical and chemical formulae, and the like are considered compounds:

```
 \begin{split} & [_{\rm NX} \; {\rm July} \; 22, \; 1989] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; {\rm the \; fourth \; of \; November}, \; 1989] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; {\rm Alabama}, \; {\rm Georgia}] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; 12 \; {\rm Maple \; St.}, \; {\rm Alabama}, \; {\rm GA}] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; {\rm Henry \; the \; Eighth}] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; {\rm Prof. \; John \; J. \; Jarvis, \; III}] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; {\rm Marcus} \; (1980)] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; {\rm a \; medium}] \; [_{\rm VGX} \; {\rm containing}] \\ & [_{\rm NX} \; 3.05 \times 10^{-2} \; \mu {\rm M} \; {\rm L-} [methyl-^3 {\rm H}] {\rm -methione}] \\ \end{split}
```

## 4 A Sample Text

Here is the beginning of sample A1 from the Brown Corpus, with chunks marked:

```
[_{\rm NX} The Fulton County Grand Jury] [_{\rm VX} said] [_{\rm NX} Friday] [_{\rm NX} an investigation] of [_{\rm NX} Atlanta] 's [_{\rm NX} recent primary election] [_{\rm VX} produced] " [_{\rm NX} no evidence] " that [_{\rm NX} any irregularities] [_{\rm VX} took] [_{\rm NX} place]. [_{\rm NX} The jury] [_{\rm VX} further said] in [_{\rm NX} term-end presentments] that [_{\rm NX} the City Executive Committee] , [_{\rm NX} which] [_{\rm VX} had] [_{\rm NX} overall charge] of [_{\rm NX} the election] , [_{\rm VX} deserves] [_{\rm NX} the praise] and [_{\rm NX} thanks] of [_{\rm NX} the City] of [_{\rm NX} Atlanta] for [_{\rm NX} the manner] in [_{\rm NX} which] [_{\rm NX} the election] [_{\rm VX} was conducted] .
```

 $[_{\rm NX}$  The September-October term jury]  $[_{\rm VX}$  had been charged] by  $[_{\rm NX}$  Fulton Superior Court Judge]  $[_{\rm NX}$  Durwood Pye]  $[_{\rm VX}$  to investigate]  $[_{\rm NX}$  reports] of  $[_{\rm NX}$  possible irregularities] in  $[_{\rm NX}$  the hard-fought

primary]  $[_{\rm NX}$  which]  $[_{\rm VX}$  was won] by  $[_{\rm NX}$  Mayor-nominate]  $[_{\rm NX}$  Ivan Allen, Jr] .

Only  $[_{NX}$  a relative handful] of  $[_{NX}$  such reports]  $[_{VX}$  was received],  $[_{NX}$  the jury]  $[_{VX}$  said],  $[_{VGX}$  considering]  $[_{NX}$  the widespread interest] in  $[_{NX}$  the election],  $[_{NX}$  the number] of  $[_{NX}$  voters] and  $[_{NX}$  the size] of  $[_{NX}$  this city].

 $[_{\rm NX}$  The jury]  $[_{\rm VX}$  said]  $[_{\rm NX}$  it]  $[_{\rm VX}$  did find] that  $[_{\rm NX}$  many] of  $[_{\rm NX}$  Georgia] 's  $[_{\rm NX}$  registration and election laws]  $[_{\rm VX}$  are outmoded] or  $[_{\rm AX}$  inadequate] and  $[_{\rm AX}$  often ambiguous] .

 $[_{\rm NX}$  It]  $[_{\rm VX}$  recommended] that  $[_{\rm NX}$  Fulton legislators]  $[_{\rm VX}$  act]  $[_{\rm INF}$  to have]  $[_{\rm NX}$  these laws]  $[_{\rm VNX}$  studied] and  $[_{\rm VNX}$  revised] to  $[_{\rm NX}$  the end] of  $[_{\rm VGX}$  modernizing] and  $[_{\rm VGX}$  improving]  $[_{\rm NX}$  them].

 $[_{\rm NX}$  The grand jury]  $[_{\rm VX}$  commented] on  $[_{\rm NX}$  a number] of  $[_{\rm NX}$  other topics] , among  $[_{\rm NX}$  them]  $[_{\rm NX}$  the Atlanta and Fulton County purchasing departments]  $[_{\rm NX}$  which]  $[_{\rm NX}$  it]  $[_{\rm VX}$  said]  $[_{\rm VX}$  are well operated] and  $[_{\rm VX}$  follow]  $[_{\rm NX}$  generally accepted practices]  $[_{\rm NX}$  which]  $[_{\rm VX}$  inure] to  $[_{\rm NX}$  the best interest] of  $[_{\rm NX}$  both governments] .